

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/497,508 | 02/04/2000 | Jin Jang | 8733.20079 | 7572 | |
| 30827 | 7590 05/20/2 | | | | |
| | RIDGE & NORN | EXAMINER | | | |
| SUITE 600 | LVANIA AVENU | EN.W. | LOUIE, W | LOUIE, WAI SING | |
| | ON, DC 20004 | | | | |
| • | • | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2814 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | A 11 /1 A1 | · A . U . 4/ \ | |
|---|---|--|---|
| | Application No. Applicant(s) | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/497,508 | JANG ET AL. | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Wai-Sing Louie | 2814 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application and indication of the application | ation. A proper reply h places the applica | y to a ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai | unt of the fee. The approprigation or the final or the fi | ropriate extension Office action; or |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancell NOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claim | S. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti | on(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec | | dered but does NO | T place the |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | roved by the Exami | ner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | |
| 10. Other: | | Roseph 1. 1 | Vel |
| | | Douglas A. Wille Patent Examiner | U |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not overcome the new matter objection and reference Ohtani et al. (US 5,612,250) clearly meet the limitations of the claimed invention.